

Bleep bloop! A robot workshop.

Aaron Conole <aconole@redhat.com> December 6, 2018



My presentation is a lot like yours, only more interesting 'cause it involves robots

The talk

- What is the 0-day robot?
- How can I avoid being taunted by a machine?
- I want to mess with the robot, how do I do that?
- Community feedback

Don't forget

Tweet about things here with tag #OVSCon



Hey ovs-dev, wanna test all patches?

0-day means testing before day 1

- Yes... I know... 0-day sometimes means something more ominous
- In this case, it's before day 1 of the patch landing
- Almost 1000 "series" processed since June 2018

Simple machines that talk to other machines

- Idea is straight-forward, we have some mechanical tasks
- No real thought required just do some basic stuff
- Email everyone on failure
- Informative, not blocking



Oh, I get it- make the robot do all the work.

All these patches, like tears in a rain

- Developers come up with a bunch of patches
- They send them via email to the mailing list
- Patchwork gets those emails and builds up series information
- The robot monitors patchwork and starts processing







How can I be so bad at everything I try and still be so great?

Directive 4

- Check out master (or, for certain notation, the appropriate branch)
- Apply the next patch in the series
- Run checkpatch
- 4 Push to https://github.com/ovsrobot/ovs
- i./configure && make'
- More patches? Goto 2
- 'make distcheck' we'll get to it



Now tragedy! Ha ha ha, that's funny.

If any of those fail... bleep bloop!

· Previous message: [ovs-dev] [PATCH] MAINTAINERS: Move Ethan to emeritus status,

- · Next message: [ovs-dev] [PATCH] MAINTAINERS: Move Ethan to emeritus status.
- Messages sorted by: [date] [thread] [subject] [author]

Bleep bloop. Greetings Ben Pfaff, I am a robot and I have tried out your patch. Thanks for your contribution.

I encountered some error that I wasn't expecting. See the details below.

build: reading sources... [55%] tutorials/fauret reading sources... [55%] tutorials/index reading sources... [57%] tutorials/own-samdbox reading sources... [56%] tutorials/own-samdbox reading sources... [56%] tutorials/own-sawanced reading sources... [1809] tutorials/ovs-constrack

MUNITAINES, rutic: FREE: From parting content block for the "list-table" directive: uniform two-level built list espected, but ruy 2 does not contain the same number of items as ruy 1 (1 vs 2).

.. list-table:: DVS Emeritum Naintainers theader-revs: 1

+ - Name . fmail

- * Ethan J. Jackson ejj at eecs.berkeley.edu

make[2]: *** [docs-check] Error 1
sobe[2]: Leaving directory `/var/lib/jetkins/jobs/upstream_build_from_pw/workspace*
make[1]: *** [all-recursive] Error 1 makell: *** [all:recursive] Error 1
sakell1: Leaving directory 'var/lib/jeskins/jobs/upstream_build_from_pw/workspace'
sake: *** [all] Error 2

Please check this put. If you feel there has been an error, please email accords at bytheb.org

Thanks, 8-day Robot

· Previous message: [ovs-dev] [PATCH] MAINTAINERS: Move Ethan to emeritus status,



Shut up and get to the point!

The logic is undeniable, prevention of bleep bloops is easy:

- Run checkpatch (Install it as git hook! Then it just happens!)
- Run a make distcheck for your series
- Make sure that each patch builds individually (helps with bisecting)
- Make sure each patch will apply to the branch cleanly
- If you use github, push to a branch, and monitor the travis output.



Now, no one can say I don't own a checkpatch hook

Attractive! Nice software

```
12:28:40 aconole ~$ cat git/ovs/.git/hooks/pre-commit
#!/bin/sh
if git rev-parse --verify HEAD 2>/dev/null
then
    git diff-index -p --cached HEAD
else
    :
fi | utilities/checkpatch.py -S
```

Here I am with a brain the size of a planet

Check your travis branch status

go to https:

redhat

//patchwork.ozlabs.org/project/openvswitch/list/

- Look up the series ID for your patches
- Find them on travis https://travis-ci.org/ovsrobot/ovs/branches

Better monitoring / reporting could be a welcome improvement

- Right now, the branch lookup is unweildy
- Probably need some effort to do cleanups in this area
- Remember, the will accomplishes everything



Test my shiny, metal patch

I could see your patch move

(←) → C ²	as (f ravis-ci.org) ovsrobot(ovs/branches] Fedora Project 📄 Red Hat 🛅 Free Content 🕘 LNST Lab Stat		• ♥ ☆ ⊻ Q Search		
Travis Cl 🕱 Dashboard Char	gelog Documentation				
	🖟 ovsrobot / ovs 🔘 🖽				
My Repositories +	Current Branches Build History Pull Requests				
✓ ovsrobot/ovs # 1	Active Branches				
Finished: about 2 hours ago	✓ serles_79312 ∰ 1 build	# 1 passed	-o-88764ba C	~	



Are you familiar with the old robot saying, DOES NOT COMPUTE?

Why doesn't make distcheck get reported?

- Some of the tests in the make-check testsuite are unreliable
- Specifically, the most recently failing one I've seen is "ovn ensure one gw controller restart in HA doesn't bounce the master"
- Could be more... could be an artifact of the system



Yeah, well I'm gonna go build my own CI, with blackjack

Okay, maybe you want a robot of your very own

Who wouldn't?

Or you want to be as lazy as you can be

- By letting a robot on your laptop test your patches
- Because again, who wouldn't?

Either way, the door opened and you got in

- With https://github.com/orgcandman/pw-ci
- You too can launch a robot



Give you a fresh start. All we ask is your cooperation...

Let's call him clamps

- Clone the repo (https://github.com/orgcandman/pw-ci)
- 2 cd pw-ci/3rd-party/openvswitch/
- B Run ./build.sh
- Set up jenkinsrc and pwmonrc files
- Import the config (this is the main job)
- Optionally, set up another jenkins job to run pwmon and cisubmit



We launch at six bells





Now, all I have to do is spell check it

patchwork reporting

- Patchwork supports 'check' reporting
- These are basically, test name, test status, link to extra data
- Could be useful for having an all-in-one spot to push CI status.
- 'Easy' to report (can be done with curl)



I better use my special robot vision to see what's inside

A view of checks

[V3,5/5] arch/powerpc/mm/in × +							
← → ♂ ŵ		E https://patchwork.ozlabs.org/patch/1007969/					
🌣 Most Visited	🛛 🗲 Fedora Docume	ntat 🛅 F	edora Project 📄 Red Hat 📄 Free Content 💮 LNST Lab Status				
[V3,5/	5] arch/p	powe	erpc/mm/hugetib: NestiMiN	/			
Message ID 20181205030931.12037-6-aneesh.kumar@linux.ibm.com State New Headers show Series NestMMU pte upgrade workaround for mprotect Related show							
Context		Check	Description				
snowpatch	ozlabs/checkpatch	warning	total: 0 errors, 0 warnings, 4 checks, 74 lines checker				
snowpatch_	ozlabs/build-pmac32	SUCCESS	build succeded & removed 0 sparse warning(s)				



That was the other guy. My name's boiler

Partnering with other groups

- UNH interoperability lab currently runs tests for DPDK
- Working with Jeremy Plsek to incorporate some NFV and ovs-dpdk testing
- UNH IOL currently does performance testing and is building a public dashboard



I need your nics, your machines, and your patches

Send hardware!

- Mellanox is the first up to ship some HW that we'll incorporate
- Other famous hardware makers who will remain unnamed have said . . .
- Anyone else? Takers?

Send patches

- Want to enable lots of the check-suites: check-dpdk, check-userspace, check-kmod
- Most need some kind of privileged resource.
- Maybe you have a fix?



Questions?